



CENTER FOR
HEALTHCARE EDUCATION
AND STUDIES

MEDIC Training 2000
(MT2K)
EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

HR00-001A

April 2000



UNITED STATES ARMY
MEDICAL DEPARTMENT CENTER AND SCHOOL
FORT SAM HOUSTON, TEXAS 78234-6125

How to Submit Your Comments

20001102 134

NOTICE

The opinions or assertions contained in this report should not be construed as the official views of the Department of the Army or the Department of Defense.

The findings in this report should not be considered as an official Department of the Army or Department of Defense position unless so designated by other authorized documents.

Citations of commercial organizations and trade names in this report do not constitute an official Department of the Army or Department of Defense endorsement or approval of the products or services of the organizations.

* * * * *

Regular users of services of the Defense Technical Information Center (per DoD Instruction 5200.21) may purchase copies directly from the following:

DEFENSE TECHNICAL INFORMATION CENTER (DTIC)
ATTN DTIC OCP
8725 JOHN J KINGMAN ROAD
SUITE 0944
FORT BELVOIR VA 22060-6218

TELEPHONE DSN 427-8274
(703) 767-8274

FAX DSN 427-9070
(703) 767-9070

All other requests for these reports will be directed to the following:

U S DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
NATIONAL TECHNICAL INFORMATION SERVICES (NTIS)
5285 PORT ROYAL ROAD
SPRINGFIELD VA 22161

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE

Form Approved
OMB No. 0704-0188

Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports, 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington, VA 22202-4302, and to the Office of Management and Budget, Paperwork Reduction Project (0704-0188), Washington, DC 20503.

1. AGENCY USE ONLY (Leave blank)		2. REPORT DATE 1 April 2000		3. REPORT TYPE AND DATES COVERED Final Report 28 Sep 98 to 1 Apr 2000	
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE MEDIC Training 2000				5. FUNDING NUMBERS	
6. AUTHOR(S) COL Cynthia A. Abbott RN, Ph.D Project Investigator, Vicki Byers RN Ph.D., Mary Z. Mays Ph.D, Virginia Lester RN MSN Project Manager CPT Bryan Sims Training Director, SFC(P) Raymond Porritt, NCOIC					
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) United States Army Medical Department Center and School 3151 Scott Road Fort Sam Houston, TX 78234-6100				8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER HR00-001B	
9. SPONSORING / MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) United States Army Medical Department Center and School 3151 Scott Road Fort Sam Houston, TX 78234-6100				10. SPONSORING / MONITORING AGENCY REPORT NUMBER	
11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES COMPLIANCE: Investigators adhered to the guidelines of Army Regulations 40-38, Clinical Investigation Program (1 Sep 89) and the "Ethical Principles of Psychologists and Code of Conduct"					
12a. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY STATEMENT Approved for public release: distribution unlimited.				12b. DISTRIBUTION CODE	
13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 words) The purpose of this study was to assess the readiness of combat medics in performing core life-saving skills, to examine an alternative method for Advance Individual Training (AIT) of combat medic students, and to determine the effect a self-directed multimedia sustainment training package has on combat medic readiness. Retrospective element of the design measured the proficiency of 284 combat medics with 1-4 years of experience at four installations. The prospective element of the design measured the proficiency of 127 newly graduated combat medics from the 91B10 course, comparing graduates receiving traditional course with graduates receiving the experimental course. After a baseline measurement of skill performance and cognitive knowledge was taken, an experimental sustainment training package was initiated at one installation to compare performance of medics using an experiment sustainment package with the usual sustainment training at the remaining three installations. Subsequent measures were taken to determine if skill proficiency degraded, remained the same, or improved. Conclusions: 1) experimental course significantly improved combat medic readiness, 2) experimental course graduates gained equivalent of one year's proficiency over the experienced medics, 3) experimental sustainment training package improved combat medic readiness.					
14. SUBJECT TERMS Military Medic Training, Emergency Medical Training, Skills Testing, Confidence in Skills, Sustainment Training				15. NUMBER OF PAGES 498	
				16. PRICE CODE	
17. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF REPORT U	18. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE U	19. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF ABSTRACT U	20. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT UL		

[Intentionally left blank]

MEDIC Training 2000



**Center for Healthcare Education Studies
Fort Sam Houston, Texas 78234-6100**

Principal Investigator –CHES	COL Cynthia A. Abbott
Co Investigator-CHES	Dr. Vicki Byers
Medical Director – 232d Med. Bn	Dr. Robert DeLorenzo
SR Statistician – CHES	Dr. Mary Mays
Project Manager –CHES	Virginia Lester
Training Director – CHES	CPT Brian Sims
NCOIC – CHES	SFC(P) Raymond Porritt
Statistician – CHES	Don Saathoff
Research Assistant – CHES	Dianne Y. McCoy

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We would like to extend a special thanks to all the combat medics who participated in the study. Their patience and willingness to be a part of a potentially risky initiative is to be commended. Without their participation there would not have been a study.

We owe a great debt to all our data collectors, Corps Surgeons, and their NCO coordinators. Without their support and cooperation, this study would have failed. The coordination effort between the four sites and the research team took many man-hours and we appreciate their efforts. The efforts at our experimental site, Fort Hood, Texas, were remarkable.

We would like to thank the Command and staff of the 232nd Medical Battalion for support and patience. Their openness to allow exploration of a different training model was greatly appreciated. We appreciate all the work and effort that goes into training a combat medic.

We would like to extend a special thanks to MAJ(P) Debra Marks, AN, for her outside critique of this report. Her comments and suggestions were very insightful and greatly appreciated.

Finally, we must thank all of the Fort Sam Houston Stimson Library personnel. They were very timely in getting the articles we needed and provided an excellent resource system. We truly appreciate all their efforts.

[Intentionally left blank]

TABLE OF CONTENTS

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE.....	i
LIST OF REPORT CONTRIBUTORS	iii
ACKNOWLEDGMENTS	v
TABLE OF CONTENTS.....	vii
EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	1
Background	1
Design	1
Procedure	2
Recommendations.....	2
APPENDIX A Experimental Sustainment Training Package Information.....	5
APPENDIX B References	7
DISTRIBUTION.....	9

[Intentionally left blank]

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Background

Combat medics are expected to render first responder aid during battle. In many cases, their skill is the difference between life or death. Their life-saving skills are based on complex, hierarchically organized, cognitive and psychomotor sets. Such skills are quickly lost if they are not learned well and repeatedly practiced. Initial training of combat medics must provide a knowledge and skill base sufficient to prepare them for immediate assignment as front-line medics, as well as for on-the-job training. Sustainment training of combat medics is critical, because the life-saving skills that form the core of what the combat medic must do in battle are not routinely used by medics in garrison. However, unit training time is limited and must be used efficiently and effectively. Commanders must give priority to the training of skills for which they are held accountable.

These facts create a unique set of problems for the Army Medical Department. For over 20 years, supervisors and commanders have expressed doubt about the effectiveness of initial and sustainment training of 91B10 combat medics. The proficiency of 91B10 combat medics has been tested many times (Blythe, et al., 1979; Latman and Wooley, 1980; Richardson, 1989; Skelton and McSwain, 1977; Training Evaluation Division, 1981; Zadinsky, 1997; Zautche, Lee, and Ethington, 1987). The results of these studies have consistently indicated that initial training of combat medics does not produce a combat medic proficient in core life-saving skills, that on-the-job training does not provide practice in core life-saving skills, that sustainment programs are not focused on life-saving skills, and that unit training lacks command support. The current study was designed to provide senior leadership with the information needed to increase the effectiveness of 91B10 training. Its goal was to provide a comprehensive evaluation of all the elements that define 91B10 proficiency training.

Design

Data were collected from large samples of military personnel who were systematically chosen to represent the Army's front-line medics, their trainers, and their supervisors. Information was collected from multiple perspectives and on more than one occasion to insure that it was reliable and convergent. Both subjective and objective data were collected from a variety of sources: demographics, self-evaluations, peer-evaluations, student evaluations, instructor evaluations, supervisor evaluations, opinion surveys, fact-finding surveys, standardized observations, aptitude tests, motivation tests, school grades, nationally standardized proficiency tests, locally developed proficiency tests, written proficiency tests, and hands-on proficiency tests. Testing was conducted using supplies, equipment, methods, and standards that were relevant to military operations and nationally recognized guidelines for emergency medical technician training.

Procedure

Phase I was an assessment of the current state of affairs in the field. Baseline readiness was obtained from testing of Combat medics from light infantry (Fort Lewis), mechanized infantry (Fort Carson), armor (Fort Hood), and airborne (Fort Bragg) installations were tested using both written and hands-on tests of life-saving skills. All medics tested in Phase I (n=347) were 91B10 skill level medics in pay grades E2 to E4. In addition, supervisors of 91B10 combat medics (n=255) were surveyed about the training and proficiency of their subordinates.

Phase II was an evaluation of Advanced Individual (AIT) combat medics. Students at Fort Sam Houston (127) were randomly selected for training in either a traditional or an experimental 91B10 course. Both courses were 10 weeks in duration and covered the same content. They differed only in the teaching strategies used to present the material. The experimental course emphasized adult learning principles, integrated classroom exercises and practical exercises.

Phase III was an assessment of sustainment training. Following Phases I and II of the study, Fort Hood began using a new sustainment-training program emphasizing core life-saving skills and hands-on proficiency. The other three installations continued to use traditional sustainment-training programs. Phase III testing was a re-evaluation of the three groups: (1) experienced combat medics who had been tested in Phase I (n=284 due to attrition), (2) new combat medics who had graduated from the traditional 91B10 course in Phase II (n=61), and (3) new combat medics who had graduated from the experimental 91B10 course in Phase II (n=66). For all three groups, Phase III testing took place six months after baseline testing. Three months later, both groups of new combat medics were tested again, nine months after their baseline testing. Phase III testing was identical to baseline testing, identical for all three groups, and identical at all four installations (Forts Bragg, Carson, Hood, and Lewis).

The final phase of the study, Phase IV, was a feasibility test of a method for measuring the effectiveness of unit training programs. A sample of 91B10 trainers were asked to use a standardized form to compute an individual and a unit Medical Field Readiness Index using individual proficiency test scores from hands-on tests of life-saving skills.

Recommendations

1. The adult learning model should be fully implemented in the 91B10 course.

Two elements of the results led to Recommendation 1. First, data from the after action report of the Phase II training officer, the staff observations of classroom and laboratory presentations, the instructor evaluations of the course, and the student evaluations of the course all indicated that there were key differences between the traditional and experimental 91B10 courses. Second, data from written and hands-on tests of medics in Phase III demonstrated that new medics who graduated from the experimental 91B10 course were significantly more proficient at core life-saving skills than those who graduated from the traditional 91B10 course. Additionally, new medics graduating from the experimental 91B10 course were more proficient than combat medics with substantially more experience. These two findings suggested that the experimental 91B10 course was more effective than the traditional 91B10 course and serves as a model for future 91B10 courses.

2. Instructors of 91B10 combat medics should have intensive training in teaching strategies that facilitate adult learning in both the classroom and laboratory.

Three elements of the results led to Recommendation 2. First, data from the staff observations of faculty presentations indicated that the adult learning model was more effectively implemented in the laboratory than in the classroom. Second, data from motivation tests indicated that both self-directed learning and school motivation significantly declined during Phase II for students in both the traditional and the experimental 91B10 courses. Third, neither self-directed learning nor school motivation predicted performance in either the traditional or the experimental 91B10 course. These data indicated that the adult learning model was not fully implemented in the experimental 91B10 course. Data suggested that faculty needed more training in how to incorporate the adult learning model into classroom teaching practices.

3. The standard for graduation from the 91B10 course should be hands-on proficiency with life-saving skills.

Two elements of the results led to Recommendation 3. First, the results of hands-on tests of core life-saving skills administered six months after baseline testing showed that new combat medics who were trained in an experimental 91B10 course were not only more proficient than new combat medics who had been trained in a traditional 91B10 course, but were also more proficient than substantially more experienced combat medics. Second, the results of hands-on tests of core life-saving skills administered nine months after baseline testing showed that new combat medics who were trained in the experimental 91B10 course continued to be more proficient than new combat medics who had been trained in the traditional 91B10 course.

Performance on the hands-on test of "assessing the casualty" was a good example of the results that led to Recommendation 3. Only 46% of experienced combat and 38% of new combat medics who were trained in the traditional 91B10 course medics (scored 70% or more) passed the test. In contrast, 65% of new combat medics who were trained in the experimental 91B10 course passed the test. Because the majority of new combat medics from the traditional 91B10 training failed the proficiency test, it was clear that the standard used in initial 91B10 training was too low to produce a fully proficient combat medic. Because experienced combat medics performed only slightly better than traditionally trained new combat medics, it was clear that unit training was not able to produce a fully proficient combat medic. That is, either initial 91B10 training did not provide experienced combat medics with the background needed to make good use of unit training or units could not provide sufficient training to bring life-saving skills up to standard. In either case, the need for higher standards in initial 91B10 training standards was apparent.

The performance of new combat medics who had been trained in the experimental 91B10 course was significantly better than that of their colleagues. Clearly, the experimental model's emphasis on hands-on proficiency throughout the training produced graduates who were more proficient - graduates who just a few months after graduation performed as though they had years of experience.

The results of proficiency testing nine months after baseline testing showed that both groups of new combat medics improved between the six month and nine month follow-up tests.

However, new combat medics who had been trained in the experimental 91B10 course were still performing at significantly higher levels than those who had been trained in the traditional 91B10 course. That is, both groups of medics were able to improve their performance with sustainment training, but those who had been trained in the traditional manner were not able to reach the same levels as those who had been trained with an emphasis on hands-on proficiency. These data showed the long-term value of having a good start.

In summary, these results suggested that the performance standards of the experimental 91B10 course were more effective than the traditional 91B10 course in producing proficient combat medics. Therefore, the experimental course standards should become the model for future 91B10 courses.

4. Installations should be given a standardized sustainment-training program focused on core life-saving skills.

Two elements of the results led to Recommendation 4. First, a comparison of the change in performance from baseline testing to six month testing of experienced combat medics demonstrated that an improvement in proficiency occurred on all four skills only at Fort Hood. Second, a comparison of the change in performance from six month testing to nine month testing of new combat medics demonstrated that consistent improvements in proficiency occurred only at Fort Hood. Between Phases I and II a new sustainment-training program was instituted at Fort Hood. The program focused on four core life-saving skills and emphasized hands-on proficiency. The results of proficiency testing demonstrated the effectiveness of having the program.

5. A Medical Field Readiness Index that holds commanders accountable for maintaining 91B10 combat medic proficiency should be implemented Army-wide.

Four elements of the results led to Recommendation 5. First, feedback from supervisors of combat medics, experienced combat medics, and new combat medics all agreed that combat medics did not routinely practice life-saving skills in the normal course of their day-to-day activities on the job. Thus, sustainment training was necessary. Second, they all agreed that training sessions dedicated to teaching life-saving skills were given regularly. Weekly or monthly training on these topics was the norm. Third, they all agreed that training resources (mannequins, videotapes, field exercises, etc.) were available and were used regularly to teach life-saving skills. Fourth, supervisors of combat medics indicated that their only major training barrier was a lack of command support.

Supervisors suggested that commanders needed to be accountable for medical readiness, just as they are accountable for the physical fitness of their soldiers. This study demonstrated clearly that it was feasible to conduct large-scale proficiency testing of medics. As a result a standardized method for computing a Medical Field Readiness Index was developed. This system for assessing and recording individual and unit medical readiness should be implemented Army-wide.

Appendix A

EXPERIMENTAL SUSTAINMENT PACKAGE

EXPERIMENTAL SUSTAINMENT TRAINING PACKAGE

This 36 page section is a very large file. It will be in the final report as Appendix T, when published. POC Dianne.McCoy@cen.amedd.army.mil or Patricia.Twist@cen.amedd.army.mil

Appendix B
(Page 195 in Final Report)

REFERENCES

[Intentionally left blank]

REFERENCES

- Abouserie, R. (1995). Self-esteem and achievement motivation as determinants of students' approaches to studying. *Studies in Higher Education*, 20(1), 19-26.
- Alpert, R., & Haber, R. (1960). Anxiety in academic achievement situations. *Journal of Abnormal and Social Psychology*, 61, 207-215.
- Andresen, S., Boud, D., & Cohen, R. (1995). Experienced-based learning. In G. Foley (Ed.), *Understanding adult education and training*. (pp. 207-219). St. Leonards, Australia: Allen & Unwin.
- Atkins, S., & Williams, A. (1995). Registered nurses' experiences of mentoring undergraduate nursing students. *J. Adv. Nurs.*, 21(5), 1006-1015.
- Baker, M. (1996). Combat care in 1995: Implications in a changing world. *Military Medicine*, 161(8), 441.
- Baldwin, D., Hill, P., & Hanson, G. (1991). Performance of psychomotor skills: A comparison of two teaching strategies. *Journal of Nursing Education*, 30(8), 367-370.
- Bandura, A. (1986). *Social foundations of thought and action: A social cognitive theory*. Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice-Hall.
- Bangert-Drowns, R., Kulik, C., Kulik, J., & Morgan, M. (1991). The instructional effect of feedback in test-like events. *Review of Educational Research*, 61, 213-238.
- Bartz, C. (1995). Job-plus training for Army combat medics. *Army Medical Department Journal*, 8(1/2), 2-6.
- Bashook, P. (1995). Clinical assessment: A state-of-the-art review. *Diabetes Educator*, 11(Special issue), 30-36.
- Bell, M. (1991). Learning a complex nursing skill: Student anxiety and the effect of preclinical skill evaluation. *Journal of Nursing Education*, 30(5), 222-226.
- Bellamy, R. (1984). The cause of death in conventional land warfare: Implications for combat casualty care research. *Military Medicine*, 149(2), 55-62.
- Bellamy, R. (1987). How shall we train for combat casualty care? *Military Medicine*, 152(12), 617-621.
- Benner, P. (1982, March). From novice to expert. *American Journal of Nursing*, pp. 402-407.

Benner, P. (1984). *From notice to expert*. London: Addison - Wesley Publishing Company.

Benner, P., & Tanner, C. (1987, January). How expert nurses use intuition. *American Journal of Nursing*, pp. 23-31.

Benner, P., Tanner, C., & Chesla, C. (1992). From beginner to expert: Gaining a differentiated clinical world in critical care nursing. *Adv Nurs Sci*, 14(3), 13-28.

Benner, P., Hooper-Kyriakidis, P., & Stannard, D. (1999). *Clinical wisdom and interventions in critical care*. Philadelphia: W.B. Saunders Company.

Billings, D. (1997). Issues in teaching and learning at a distance: Changing roles and responsibilities of administrators, faculty, and students. *Computers in Nursing*, 15(2), 69-70.

Blythe, R., Dembeck, T., & Murphy, T. (1979). *An evaluation of the effectiveness of the ISD model of instruction for training United States Army basic medical specialists*. [Medical Specialist Training]. Ft. Sam Houston, TX: Department of the Army Academy of Health Sciences (217 pages).

Burgess, G. (1996). The design of adult learning around multimedia delivery. *Journal of Interactive Instruction Development*, Summer, 3-9.

Burnard, P. (1995). Nurse educators' perceptions of reflection and reflective practice: A report of a descriptive study. *J. Adv. Nurs.*, 21(6), 1167-1174.

Butler, D., & Winne, P. (1995). Feedback and self-regulated learning: A theoretical synthesis. *Review of Educational Research*, 65(3), 245-281.

Butler, F., Hagmann, J., & Butler, E. (1996). Tactical combat casualty care in special operations. *Military Medicine*, 161(Suppl 1), 3-16.

Carrier, C., & Sales, G. (1987). Pair versus individual work on the acquisition concepts in a computer-based instructional lesson. *Journal of Computer-based Instruction*, 14, 11-17.

Case, B. (1996). Breathing air into adult learning. *Adult Learning*, 27(4), 148-158.

Castaneda, A., McCanless, B., & Palermo, D. (1956). The children's form of the Manifest Anxiety Scale. *Child Development*, 27, 317-326.

Chiu, L. (1987). Development of self-esteem rating scale for children (revised). *Measurement and Evaluation in Counseling and Development*, 20, 36-42.

Chiu, L. (1997). Development and validation of the school achievement motivation rating scale. *Educational and Psychological Measurement*, 57(2), 292-305.

Cloonan, C. (1995). Good Lord, deliver us. *Military Medicine*, 160(11), 545-546.

- Coopersmith, S. (1967). *The antecedents of self-esteem*. San Francisco: Freeman.
- Corno, L. (1993). The best-laid plans. Modern conceptions of volition and educational research. *Educational Researcher*, 22(2), 14-22.
- Crandall, V., Katkovsky, W., & Crandall, V. (1965). Children's beliefs in their own control of reinforcement in intellectual-academic achievement situations. *Child Development*, 36, 91-109.
- De Lorenzo, R. (1996). Military ems: An expanded scope model. *Journal of Emergency Medical Services*, 21(4), 50-54.
- De Lorenzo, R. (1997a). Improving combat casualty care and field medicine: Focus on the military medic. *Military Medicine*, 162(4), 268-272.
- De Lorenzo, R. (1997b). Military and civilian emergency aeromedical services: Common goals and different approaches. *Aviation, Space, and Environmental Medicine*, 68(1), 56-60.
- Department of the Army. (1985). *Army wide small arms competitive marksmanship*. [AR-350-6]. Washington, D.C.: Headquarters, Department of the Army.
- Devlin, T. (1993). Distance training. In D. Keegan (Ed.), *Theoretical principles of distance education* (pp. 254-268). New York, NY: Routledge.
- Dille, B., & Mezark, M. (1991). Identifying predictors of high risk among community college telecourse students. *Am. J. Distance Education*, 5(1), 24-25.
- Dreyfus, S., & Dreyfus, H. (1980). *A five-stage model of the mental activities involved in directed skill acquisition*. [U.S. Air Force Office of Scientific Research with the University of California]. Berkeley: Unpublished.
- Druckman, D., & Swets, J. (1988). *Enhancing human performance..* Washington DC: National Academy Press.
- Duda, J., & Nicholls, J. (1992). Dimensions of achievement motivation in schoolwork and sport. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 84(3), 290-299.
- Earles, J. A., & Ree, M. J. (1992). The predictive validity of the ASVAB for training grades. *Educational and Psychological Measurement*.
- Evans, D., Hearn, M., & Zwirner, W. (1975). Need achievement training with grade nine students. *Canadian Journal of Behavioral Sciences*, 7, 54-59.
- Foley, G. (1995). Teaching adults. In G. Foley (Ed.), *Understanding adult education and training*. (pp. 31-53). St. Leonards, Australia: Allen & Unwin.

- Gagne, R. (1961). Military training and principles of learning. *American Psychologist*.
- Garrison, D. (1997). Self-directed learning: Toward a comprehensive model. *Adult Education Quarterly*, 48(1), 18-33.
- Giro, E. (1993). Assessment of competence in clinical practice - a review of the literature. *Nurs Education Today*, 15, 83-90.
- Goldsmith, J. (1984). Effect of learner variables, media attributes, and practice conditions on psychomotor task performance. *Western Journal of Nursing Research*, 6(2), 229-240.
- Gomez, G., & Gomez, E. (1984, Winter). The teaching of psychomotor skills in nursing. *Nurse Educator*, pp. 35-39.
- Gough, H., & Heilbrun, A. (1983). *The Adjective Checklist Manual*. Palo Alto: CA: Consulting Psychologists Press, Inc.
- Grant, L. (1996). Lessons in developing distance learning. *P & I*, 35(2), 22-25.
- Grow, G. (1991). Teaching learners to be self-directed. *Adult Education Quarterly*, 41(3), 125-149.
- Hall, J., & Welsh, M. (1984, Spring). Using the competency laboratory to learn psychomotor skills. *Nurse Educator*, pp. 34-38.
- Hanna, D. (1991). Using simulations to teach clinical nursing. *Nurse Educator*, 16(2), 28-31.
- Hayunga, E., Donaldson, P., Sniffen, R., & Holsinger, J. (1988). Combat casualty care training for reserve forces. *Military Medicine*, 153(11), 574-153.
- Headquarters, Department of the Army. (1989). *Clinical Investigation Program* [Army Regulation 40-38]. Washington, D.C.: Department of the Army.
- Headquarters, Department of the Army. (1995). [STP-91B15-SM-TG]. Soldier's Manual and Trainer Guide. MOS 91B Medical Specialist Skill Level 1/2/3/4/5. Washington, D.C.: Department of the Army (431 pages).
- Heckhausen, H. (1967). *The anatomy of achievement-motivation*. New York: Macmillan.
- Hepps, S., Marcantonio, A., Hohman, W., Bradley, D., Gazzaniga, A., & Simmons, J. (1985). A combat casualty course for increasing the operational readiness of reserve component health care professionals. *Military Medicine*, 150(2), 97-101.
- Hersey, P., & Blanchard, K. (1988). *Management of organization behavior: Utilizing human resources*. Englewood Cliff, NJ: Prentice-Hall.

Hobbs, G., Moshinskie, J., Roden, S., & Jarvis, J. (1998). A comparison of classroom and distance learning techniques for rural EMT-I instruction. *Prehospital Emergency Care*, 2, 189-191.

Hooper, S., Temiyakarn, C., & Williams, M. (1993). The effects of cooperative learning and learner control on high- and average ability students. *Educational Technology Research and Development*, 41(2), 5-18.

Howard, D.C. (1993). Design, implementation, and preliminary results of an emergency medical technician – intermediate training program on an Air Force installation. *Military Medicine* 158 (10), 660-662.

Individual Training Division. (1987). *Basic combat training: First aid performance training relook. Summary Report*. Ft. Sam Houston, TX: Academy of Health Sciences.

Jacobson, W. (1996). Learning, culture, and learning culture. *Adult Education Quarterly*, 47(1), 15-28.

Jagoda, A., Pietrzak, M., Hazen, S., & Vayer, J. (1992). Prehospital care and the military. *Military Medicine*, 157(1), 11-15.

Jennett, P., & Premkumar, K. (1996). Technology-based dissemination. *Canadian J. of Public Health*, 87(supplement 2), S34-S38.

Jerin, J., Ansell, B., Larsen, M., & Cummins, R. (1998). Automated external defibrillators: Skill maintenance using computer-assisted learning. *Academic Emergency Medicine*, 5(7), 709-717.

Kanfer, R. (1987). Task-specific motivation: An integrative approach to issues of measurement, mechanisms, processes, and determinants. *Journal of Social and Clinical Psychology*, 5, 237-264.

Kanfer, R., & Ackerman, P. (1988). Motivation and cognitive abilities: An integrative/apptitude-treatment interaction approach to skill acquisition. *Journal of Applied Psychology Monograph*, 74, 657-690.

Kember, D., Lai, T., Murphy, D., Siaw, I., & Yuen, K. (1994). Student progress in distance education courses: A replication study. *Adult Education Quarterly*, 45(1), 286-301.

Knowles, M. (1967). Program planning for adults as learners. *Adult Leadership*, February, 267-279.

Knowles, M. (1968). Androgogy. *Adult Leadership*, April, 350-386.

Knowles, M. (1980). *The modern practice of adult education from pedagogy to androgogy*. Chicago, IL: Follett Publishers.

Kuhl, J., & Goschke, T. (1994). A theory of action control: Mental subsystems, modes of control and volitional conflict-resolution strategies. In J. Kuhl and J. Beckmann (Ed.), *Volition and personality: Action versus state orientation*. (pp. 83-124). Seattle, WA: Hogrefe and Huber.

Kulhavy, R., & Stock, W. (1994). Feedback in written instructions: The place of response certainty. *Education Psychology Review*, 1, 279-308.

Landin, D. (1994). The role of verbal cues in skill learning. *Quest*, 46, 299-313.

Latman, N., & Wooley, K. (1980). Knowledge and skill retention of emergency care attendants, EMTs, and EMT-PS. *Ann Emerg Med*, 9(4), 183-189.

Lawler, P. (1991). *The keys to adult learning: Theory and practical strategies*. Philadelphia: Research for Better Schools.

Lawther, J. (1977). *The learning and performance of physical skills*. Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice-Hall.

Lewis, C. (1997). Interactive multimedia brings new possibilities to adult learning. *Adult Learning*, May/June/July/August, 23-25.

Litchfield, A. (1965). The nature and pattern of participation in adult education activities. *American Doctoral Dissertations, 1965-1966*, 74.

McKinney, J. D., Mason, J., Perkerson, K., & Clifford, M. (1975). Relationship between classroom behavior and academic achievement. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 67, 198-203.

McManus, W., & Darin, J. (1976). Can the well trained EMT-paramedic maintain skills and knowledge? *JACEP*, 5, 984-986.

Mezirow, J. (1985). A critical theory of self-directed learning. In S. Brookfield (Ed.), *Self-directed learning: From theory to practice*. (pp. 17-30). San Francisco, CA: Jossey-Bass.

Milde, F. (1988). The function of feedback in psychomotor-skill learning. *Western Journal of Nursing Research*, 10(4), 425-434.

Military Occupation Data System [MODS]. 1997.

Moore, M. (1993). Theory of transactional distance. In D. Keegan (Ed.), *Theoretical principles of distance education* (pp. 22-38). New York, NY: Routledge.

National Registry of Emergency Medical Technicians. (1994). *EMT-Basic Practical Examination Users Guide* [Manual]. Columbus, OH: Author.

Oddi, L. (1986, Winter). Development and validation of an instrument to identify self-directed continuing learners. *Adult Education Quarterly*, 36(2), 97-107.

Oddi, L. (1987). Perspectives on self-directed learning. *Adult Education Quarterly*, 38(1), 21-31.

Oermann, M. (1990). Psychomotor skill development. *Journal of Continuing Education in Nursing*, 21(5), 202-204.

Padgett. (1999, 23 Jun). PERSCOM.

Paris, S., & Byrnes, J. (1989). The constructivist approach to self-regulation and learning in the classroom. In B. Zimmerman & D. Schunk (Eds.), *Self-regulated learning and academic achievement* (pp. 169-200). New York: Springer-Verlag Publisher.

Parisi, R. (1990). Medical readiness training. *Military Medicine*, 155(5), 214-217.

Piaget, J. (1971 – tr, B. Walsh). *Biology and knowledge*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.

Pike, R. (1987). *Creative training techniques handbook*. Minneapolis MN: Lakewood Publications, Inc.

Pollock, M., Brown, L., & Dunn, K. (1997). The perceived importance of paramedic skills and the emphasis they receive during EMS education programs. *Prehospital Emergency Care*, 1(4), 263-268.

Prawat, R. (1992). Teachers' beliefs about teaching and learning: A constructivist perspective. *American Journal of Education*, 100, 354-395.

Preczewski, S. (1998, 21 February). Measuring self-directedness for continuing learning: A cross-sectional survey approach using the Oddi Continuing Learning Inventory (OCLI). 12th International Symposium on Self-Directed Learning. Orlando, Florida.

Pressley, M., Ghatala, E., Waloshyn, V., & Pirie, J. (1990). Sometimes adults miss the main ideas and do not realize it: Confidence in responses to short-answer and multiple choice comprehension questions. *Reading Research Quarterly*, 25, 232-249.

Pugliese, R. (1994). Telecourse persistence and psychological variables. *Am. J. Distance Education*, 8, 22-39.

Reilly, D., & Oermann M.H. (1992). *Clinical teaching in nursing education*. New York: National League for Nursing. Pub. No. 15-2471.

Reinert, B., & Fryback, P. (1997). Distance learning and nursing education. *Journal of Nursing Education*, 36(9), 421-427.

Resnick, L. (1991). Shared cognition: Thinking as a social practice. In J. L. L.B. Resnick, and S.D. Teasley (Ed.), *Perspectives on socially shared cognition* (pp. 1-20). Washington, D.C.: American Psychological Association.

Richardson, S. (1997). An evaluation of United States Army Medical Specialist (Military Occupational Specialty [MOS] skills competencies [Masters Thesis]. Denver CO: University of Colorado. 1-136.

Rosenberg, M. (1979). *Conceiving the self*. New York: Basic Books.

Rotter, J. (1966). *Generalized expectancies for internal versus external control of reinforcement* [Psychological Monographs No. 609].

Samuels, D., Bock, H., Maull, K., & Stoy, W. (1994). *EMT-Basic National Standard Curriculum*. Washington, D.C.: United States Department of Transportation, National Highway Traffic Safety Administration.

Sarason, S., Davidson, K., Lighthall, E., & Britton, K. (1960). *Anxiety in elementary school children*. New York: John Wiley.

Sauve, L. (1993). What's behind the development of a course on the concept of distance education? In D. Keegan (Ed.), *Theoretical principles of distance education* (pp. 93-109). New York, NY: Routledge.

Schommer, M. (1990). Effects of beliefs about the nature of knowledge on comprehension. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 82, 498-504.

Schommer, M. (1993). Epistemological development and academic performance among secondary students. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 85, 406-411.

Schnackenberg, H., Sullivan, H., Leader, L., & Jones, E. (1998). Learner preferences and achievement under differing amounts of learner practice. *ETR&D*, 46(2), 5-15.

Schunk, D. (1989). Social cognitive theory and self-regulated learning. In B. Zimmerman & D. Schunk (Eds.), *Self-regulated learning and academic achievement* (pp. 83-110). New York: Springer-Verlag.

Seidel, R., & Chatelier, P. (1994). Learning without boundaries: An overview of distance/distributed learning. In R.J. Seidel & P.R. Chatelier (Ed.), *Learning without boundaries: Technology to support distance/distributed learning*. (pp. 1-4). New York, NY: Plenum and NATO Defense Research Group.

DISTRIBUTION

Director, Joint Medical Library, ATTN: DASG-AAFJ ML, Offices of the Surgeon General
Army/Air Force (1)

Stimson Library, ATTN Ms Livingston, e-mail

Medical Library, Brooke Army Medical Center, ATTN Ms Rakowitz, e-mail

COL Cynthia Abbott (1)

LTC Brian Allgood, e-mail

CSM Adolph Arista, e-mail

COL Rich Barrett, e-mail

BG William Bester, e-mail

Bill Brown, e-mail

CSM Steve W. Burton, e-mail

Vicki Byers (2)

SGM Chan C, e-mail

COL Larry Campbell, e-mail

CPT Denise De La Hoz, e-mail

MAJ Robert Delorenzo, e-mail

MSG Erickson, e-mail

BG Kenneth Farmer, e-mail

CPT Lisa Ford, e-mail

SSG Sarah Garcia, e-mail

MAJ Joseph Gollasch, e-mail

COL Debra Gustke, e-mail

MAJ Hoffman, e-mail

SSG Hutchinson, e-mail

SFC Lewis Illescas, e-mail

SGM Jack Jordan, e-mail

COL Bill Koehler, e-mail

CPT Stephen Linck, e-mail

Virginia Lester (2)

SFC David Luna, e-mail
MAJ(P) Debra D. Mark (1)
COL Lester Martinez, e-mail
Mary Mays (2)
Dianne McCoy (1)
CPT John Meyer, e-mail
CPT Wade Morcom, email
MSG Perez, e-mail
CSM Josephine Porteous, e-mail
MAJ Sharon Purviance, e-mail
Stephen Rahm, e-mail
SFC Margaret Rakes, e-mail
Don Saathoff (1)
LTC Laurie Sandstrom, e-mail
COL Erick Schoomaker, e-mail
COL Richard Shipley, e-mail
SGM W. Staples, e-mail
CSM Sandra Townsend, e-mail
LTC Leo Tucker, e-mail
COL Paul Whitaker, e-mail
MAJ Wuerderman, e-mail